DESI AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
To: BERGGREN OY AB	PCT				
OHJELMAKAARI 1 FI-40500 JYVÄSKYLÄ	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2 0 -10- 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference BP109003/TN	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. PCT/FI 2004/050092 International filing dat 14-06-2004	13-06-2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F3/14, G06F13/12, G09G5/39					
Applicant NOKIA CORPORATION ET AL					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055	Authorized officer				
P	Jan Silfverling /LR				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/050092

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
1. With reg in which	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 1.1(b)).
2. With rega	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the nvention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format	t of material
	in written format
H	in computer readable form
·	m computer readable form
c. time o	f filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
. —	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
ши	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been d or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional	comments:
	\cdot
	·
•	
•	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/050092

Box No.		nder Rule 4 s and explan	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive statement	ep or industrial
1. State	ment			
N	ovelty (N)	Claims Claims	1-13	YES NO
In	ventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-13	YES NO
In	dustrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-13	YES NO
				NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: US 6538653

D2: US 5790881

D3: US 6091863

D4: US 6222564

D5: US 5450542

The cited documents represent the general state of the art. The invention defined in claims 1-13 is not disclosed by any of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed arrangement for controlling a display device, method for connecting a display device to a processor controlling the display device or adapter circuit.

Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-13 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step. The invention is industrially applicable.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/050092

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawing or on the question whether the claim are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 2 is not describing the function of the MeSSI.

The memory unit (303) in claim 4 has not been mentioned before in the claim or in the previous claims and shall therefore be written in indefinite form. It has also got the same reference number (303) as the display.

Claim 6 shall refer to previous claims in alternative form.

Claim 8 is not entirely written as a method.

In claim 11 it is not clear what is meant with the phrase "glue logics".